

Taylor, Lee

From: Redacted
Sent: 09 January 2024 20:58
To: Redacted
Cc:
Subject: Consultation on the Community Protection (Offender Reporting) Amendment Bill 2023 - Submission

Follow Up Flag: Flag for follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

You don't often get email from deanewcoleman@gmail.com. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear DPFEM,

Thank you for considering my below CPOR Amendment Bill 2023 Submission. Please treat the email address associated with this submission as confidential.

The Bill at s33 reads: *...Section 44 of the Principal Act is amended as follows...1A) The Commissioner is to develop guidelines... I respectfully submit any section of the Bill pertaining to 'Access to Register' should not be enacted until such guidelines have been developed, consulted on and subsequently authorised for publication.*

The Bill at s35 reads: *...Section 44B of the Principal Act is amended as follows...(b) by omitting from subsection (1) "in writing"... Unless the Act elsewhere prescribes that Provision of information to prescribed entities must be formally documented and stored then this amendment possibly allows such information to be provided without any reliable form of accountability. If so, I respectfully request this amendment to be removed.*

The Bill at s35 also reads: *.....Section 44B of the Principal Act is amended as follows...(c) by inserting in subsection (1) ", or an entity of a class prescribed by the regulations to be a class of entities," after "prescribed entity".* The CPOR Regulations 2016 at 10. *Prescribed entities* reads *...(b) the Department of Communities Tasmania.* The www.communities.tas.gov.au/ website reads *...From 1 December 2022, the Department of Communities Tasmania ceased to exist, and all functions were moved to other areas of the Tasmanian State Service...Corporate Services, Office of the Secretary and Grant Management functions have been transferred across multiple receiving agencies.* The Tasmanian State Service comprises numerous sub-entities and it's unclear to myself as to whether any/ or all those entities have an authentic need to know information as prescribed and this amendment. My concern is the more entities given access to such information, the more risk there is in such information being inappropriately shared to unauthorised entities.

Thanks for considering and kind regards.